

IREG

Observatory on Academic Ranking and Excellence

19 - 20 January 2015

Academic Excellence: Between Holy Grail and Measurable Objectives

MARIBOR, SLOVENIJA

APPLYING ONOMASTICS TO SCIENTOMETRICS



Cultural bias and diaspora through publications

Appling onomastics to approach cultural bias in research

 Analysis of International Cancer Research publications through co-authorship and inter-citation

Two approaches combined: onomastics & bibliometrics

- Onomastics is the study of the origin, history, and use of proper names
- Bibliometrics is a statistical and structural analysis of written publications:
 - Quantitatives: number of publications, number of citations, ranking of the top 1% or 10% of cited publication.
 - Structural: Configuration of co-authorship, and inter-citation on different levels: Authorship/University/Country

YOU are ALL onomasticians!

Active participants at the IREG conference:

- Prof. Gero Federkeil, CHE (Coordinator of Multi-Ranking),
- Prof. Nian Cai Liu, Jiao Tong University in Shanghai
- Prof. Seeram Ramakrishna, The National University of Singapore,
- Prof. Santo Fortunato, Aalto University,
- Prof. Karin Stana Kleinschek, The University of Maribor,
- Prof. Henryk Ratajczak, member of Czech Academy of Sciences,
- Prof. Edvard Kobal, Slovenian Science Foundation,
- Roberta Sinatra, PhD, Northeastern University,
- Tania Vichnevskaia, French National Institute for Health (INSERM),
- Prof. Andrée Sursock, Senior Adviser at EUA,
- Prof. Øivind Andersen, The University of Oslo.

What can YOU tell from the names?

Data in Cancer Research

- China: The Fudan University Cancer Hospital
- USA: The Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
- France: French Comprehensive Cancer Centers (FCCC)
- □ Japan : National Cancer Center
- □ Poland : All applicable institutes
- Slovenia : All applicable institutes

Thomson Web of Science

- For this study, we used Thomson Web of Science database
 - Web of Science provides access to the world's leading citation databases. Multidisciplinary content covers over 12,000 of the highest impact journals.
 - Thomson Web of Science contains information on scientists names, affiliation and citation (possibility to qualify Diaspora)
- In the corpus
 - 15k articles, 68k authors;
 - cited: 32k articles 168k authors;
 - 17 million author citing-author cited occurrences

Name origin recognition: does it work?

1

Matrix with affiliation (row) and onomastic class (column)

					ie. Polish name			
		French	Brittish	Chinese	Polish	German	Japanese	Italian
	US	2358	7072	2406	430	2384	680	1243
	France	9375	318	88	93	370	42	607
	China	13	35	5690	1	19	76	30
ie. Poland affiliation >	Poland	23	16	3	5038	174	5	13
	Great Britt	191	1786	57	52	145	28	115
	Japan	9	10	26	7	7	3739	10
	Germany	121	102	22	92	1819	9	60

4

The USA was considered as a 'melting pot' of all origins, not having an onomastic class of its own.

Italy

83

Name origin recognition precision varies. We can establish the strong relationship between affiliation and 'onomastic classes' for China, Japan, Poland, Slovenia.

Ex: 84% of scientists affiliated to Poland have Polish names.


Scientists affiliated in Poland


2026

31


11

Breakdown by onomastic class (Polish name, etc.)
Source: Thomson WoS






Percentage of Cancer Research Documents cited relative to world publications Percentage of Cancer Research publications in the World



Each corpus has a different profile

Breakdown by co-authors onomastic classes




Chinese scientists in Cancer Research Fudan, China Who do they cite?


10

Authors in Chinese corpus, Affiliated to China, With a Chinese or Taiwanese names

Percentage of cited authors by affiliated country



Most frequently cited names by affiliated country



Most cited countries of affiliation:


- 1) US
- 2) China
- 3) Japan

Most cited onomastic class:


- 1) Chinese (Chinese Scientists in the US)
- 2) British
- 3) Japanese

Scientists in US corpus, Affiliated to US, With a Chinese or Taiwanese names

Percentage of cited authors by affiliated country



Most frequently cited names by affiliated country



Most cited countries of affiliation:

- US
- **Great-Britain**
- Japan

Most cited onomastic class:

- **British**
- Chinese
- **Japanese**


Diaspora a key 'hidden' dimension

- Ranking by Country & Affiliation would entirely differ from Ranking by Onomastic class (ie. including Diasporas)
- Onomastics sheds light on the strong relationship that exists between China and its Diaspora, especially between the institutes of excellence in China and US
- So China: Brain Drain or Brain Gain ?

Cancer Research in Poland and Slovenia

Examining the 'brain drain'

The Polish "brain drain"




In the Polish Corpus, we look at coauthors with Polish names, affiliated abroad.

Top countries:

- 1. US,
- 2. Great-Britain,
- 3. Germany.

The Slovenien "brain drain"



In the Slovenian Corpus, we look at coauthors with Slovenian names, affiliated abroad.

Top countries:

- 1. Great-Britain,
- 2. US,
- 3. Germany.

Conclusion

- Applied onomastics can help view differences in
 - Co-authorship and citing patterns in various countries
 - Diasporas citing patterns (ex. Chinese in the US vs. non-Chinese in the US vs. Chinese in China)
 - 'Brain drain' and migration patterns
- The above has an potential impact on ranking, and should be further analysed
- More questions raised than answered!

Thank you!

- □ Tatiana Vichnevskaia,
 - Contact: <u>tatiana.vichnevskaia@inserm.fr</u>

- About NamSor software and API
 - Contact: <u>elian.carsenat@namsor.com</u>
 - http://namsor.com/